



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA  
MINISTRY OF MINES  
INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES  
Office of the Regional Controller of Mines

No. AP/NLG/MP/Lst-47/Hyd

C.G.O Towers, 6<sup>th</sup> floor,  
Kavajiguda,  
Secunderabad - 500 080  
Date: 01-03-2018.

To  
Shri S.Venkateswarlu,  
SR, Vice President,  
M/S.Deccan Cements Limited,  
3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 6-3-666/B, Somajiguda,  
Hyderabad-500 082, Telangana.

Sub: Submission of Modified Mining Plan in respect of Bhavanipuram Limestone mine ML - II over an extent of 73.93 ha in of Mahankaligudem (V), Palakeedu (M), Suryapet district, T.S. submitted under Rule 17(3) of MCR, 2016.

Ref: Your letter No.DCL/Mines/BLM-II/IBM/358, dated 05.02.2018.

Sir,

With reference to your letter cited above on the subject, on table scrutiny certain deficiencies were found as given in Annexure. The same scrutiny comments have already been forwarded on e mail id of you and your Qualified Person as submitted in the document. info@deccancements.com and chakravarthy.kalyan@deccancements.com

02. You are advised to attend the deficiencies as per the annexure and resubmit the document, complete in all respects, in three bound copies along with soft copy in the form of CD (2Nos.). In this regard you are directed to submit the Financial Assurance in the form of Bank Guarantee for the area put on use for Mining and allied activities @ Rs.Three lakhs/hectare for category 'A' mines provided that the minimum amount shall be Rs.Ten lakhs and @ Rs.Two Lakhs/hectare for category 'B' mines provided that the minimum amount shall be Rs.Five lakhs as per the provision of Rule 27(1) of MCDR, 2017 at the time of submission of final copies of the document within 15 (fifteen) days from the date of issue of this letter, failing which the document will be disposed without giving any further opportunity.

03. The para-wise clarification & the manner in which the deficiencies are attended should be given while forwarding modified document.

Mine yours faithfully,

(Pankaj Kishore) /  
Controller of Mines

Copy to Sri D Kalyana Chakravarthy, OP, M/S.Deccan Cements Limited, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 6-3-666/B, Somajiguda,  
Hyderabad-500 082, Telangana for information and necessary action.

(Pankaj Kishore) /  
Controller of Mines

मूल प्रति पर नहीं  
खान निदेशक (र), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, बंगलुरु।

(Pankaj Kishore) /  
खान निदेशक

Scrutiny comments on Modified Mining Plan submitted for Bhavanipuram Limestone Mine-II over an extent of 73.93 ha in Mahankaligudem Village, Suryapet district in Telangana State

1. On the cover page it is mentioned that the Progressive Mine Closure plan has been submitted under Rule 23B of MCDR, 1988. However, this needs to be corrected as per MCDR, 2017.
2. At Para 5.6 on page no.16, proposed production figures up to end of proposed period to be given. This needs to be rectified.
3. The reason for deviation in production which has been done in excess to the proposed cannot be accepted. As the production proposals have been approved for Bhavanipuram Limestone Mine-II only.
4. A note on the capacity of the plant vis-à-vis the production and reserve/resource for feeding the plant from both the mining leases to be provided. So as to enable proper planning and excavation of the mineral. The proposals should be in accordance with the installed capacity of the plant.
5. Table-14 under para 6.5.9 is blank and is repetitive of table-13 given under para 6.5.8. This needs to be deleted.
6. Under Para 7.2.1 in table In situ tentative excavation proposal it is blank on page no.45, proposed pit no./grid position has not been mentioned.
7. The area under green belt given under financial assurance table at para no.14.3.12 needs to be excluded as it is not required to be taken into account for calculation of area put under use. Accordingly FA amount needs to be reviewed.
8. Further it is seen from the table given under FA, it is mentioned that about 8.36 ha has been reclaimed by way of water reservoir. However, it is noted during the inspection that no part of the lease has been mined out and as such this cannot be accepted. Accordingly are put under use and FA need to be reviewed.
9. A copy of the permission letter obtained for using HEMM to be enclosed as annexure.
10. Copies of Forest clearance to be enclosed as annexure.
11. In Plate No-V following discrepancies are observed which needs to be rectified:
  - (i) Section A-A' is not matching with the Geological plan.
  - (ii) In plate No. V Section F-F; is not matching with the Geological Plan as ramp is not shown.
12. Few more Ambient air quality points to be made at strategic points like haul road, proposed working bench face.